

## **REPORTED & UNREPORTED JUDGMENTS**

(This list is only illustrative and not exhaustive)

### **A. SUPREME COURT**

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of MP, Criminal Appeal No. 528/2017 dated 19 March 2017** – Represented the Respondents in a challenge raised by \*\*\*\*\* thereby challenging the order of framing of charge under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 by the Hon`ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Bihar, SLP (Crl) No. 9577/2018, Alternative Citation – MANU/SCOR/07511/2019 dated 12 October 2020** – Successfully challenged the concurrent convictions of the Sessions Court, Bhagalpur and the Hon`ble Patna High Court wherein \*\*\*\*\* was wrongly and arbitrarily convicted under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and sentenced for a period of 5 years. Secured leave in the petition from the Hon`ble Supreme Court and also secured bail for the accused pending final hearing of the matter.

**Sai Kripa Engineering Works & Ors v Narender Shah, SLP (C) No. 24860/2018 dated 04 April 2022** – Resisting the challenge filed by Sai Kripa Engineering Works wherein the concurrent findings of the Hon`ble Single Judge and Hon`ble Division Bench has been challenged by way of a Special Leave Petition before the Hon`ble Supreme Court. The dispute involves the interpretation of Order 9, Rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

**Suresh Kumar Jain & Ors v Madan Lal Jain Dead by LR, SLP (Civil) 7326/2020 dated 08 June 2020** – Successfully represented the Respondents who were appearing on Caveat on the first date of pre-notice hearing before a three-judge bench of the Hon`ble Supreme Court. The Petitioners had challenged an interim order passed in Execution Proceedings for an Arbitral Award emanating out of the Hon`ble Kolkata High Court. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**Ram Chandra Agarwal v Union of India, Writ Petition (C) No. 779/2020 dated 13 August 2020** – Represented Mr. Ramchandra Agarwal (CMD and Promoter of V2 Retail Limited) before the Hon`ble Supreme Court on 13 August 2020 on the point of the illegality of Covid – 19 State Lockdowns being imposed by various States in an arbitrary and illegal manner.

**Aditya Jalan v Union of India, Writ Petition (C) No. 782/2020 dated 14 August 2020** – Represented Mr. Aditya Jalan in August 2020 in a Public Interest Litigation filed against State of Bihar. The relief under Article 355 of the Constitution of India was prayed for vide which it was pleaded that due to the Covid – 19 crisis, the State Public Health Department of Bihar may be taken over by the Union of India as the public health infrastructure had completely broken down leading to loss of lives.

**Sri Munisuvrata Agri International Limited v Bank of Baroda & Ors, Civil Appeal No. 3114/2020 dated 30 September 2020** – Represented the petitioner company before the Hon`ble Supreme Court and challenged the orders of the NCLAT and NCLT where the two tribunals had wrongly dismissed the Section 10 (Application for Voluntary Insolvency). (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**\*\*\*\*\* & Ors v Stellar Information Technology, SLP (Crl) No. 4091/2020 dated 07 October 2020** – Represented the petitioners who were aggrieved by the order of the Hon`ble Delhi High Court where Delhi High Court had upheld the dismissal of the Section 200 complaint of the petitioners against the Respondents. Allegations of forging Contractual Agreements were made in the private complaint filed under Section 200 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

**Centrum Financial Services Limited v State of NCT of Delhi, SLP (Crl) No. 6709/2020 dated 28 January 2022** – Represented my client in a matter where my client was booked under Sections 406/420/467/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The complainant had approached the Supreme Court for cancellation of bail against the grant of regular bail to my client. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**Indian National Trust For Art and Cultural Heritage v State of Bihar, SLP (C) No. 10960/2020 dated 13 May 2022** – Represented INTACH in an SLP wherein the Final Order of the Patna High Court ordering for demolition of the Patna Collectorate Building was challenged by my client before the Hon`ble Supreme Court. (Pro – Bono)

**Guptasons Jewellers and Gems Pvt Limited v Oriental Insurance Company Limited, SLP (Civil) No. 2378/2021 dated 12 February 2021** – Represented the petitioners in an insurance matter where the State Commission had allowed the claim of the insured but the National Commission had rejected the claim of the insured on account of there being variation in the statements of theft made by the insured.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Bihar, WP (Crl) No. 280/2021 dated 09 July 2021** – Successfully, represented the petitioner under Article 32 jurisdiction of the Hon`ble Supreme Court. The petitioner client was struggling to get his regular bail application listed and taken up by the Hon`ble Patna High Court. The Hon`ble Supreme Court directed the Patna High Court to expedite hearing of the regular bail petition of \*\*\*\*\* pending before Patna High Court.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Bihar, SLP (Crl) No. 7673/2021 dated 24 November 2021** – Represented the petitioner accused who was charged under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The regular bail application of the petitioner was being prayed for through the above mentioned SLP. The regular bail application of the petitioner was dismissed by the Hon`ble Sessions Court, Patna and Hon`ble High Court, Patna.

**\*\*\*\*\* v Vidya Manjunath, SLP (Crl) No. 1342/2021 dated 06 December 2021** – Challenged and argued the petition on behalf of the accused petitioner who challenged the erroneous order of the Hon`ble Karnataka High Court. The accused petitioner was convicted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. (Pro – Bono)

**Akshay Bajad v Election Commission of India, WP (C) 1022 of 2021 dated 5 September 2022** - Represented the petitioner who is a public-spirited citizen based out of Mumbai. Public Interest Litigation was filed and argued on behalf of the Petitioner wherein the Petitioner prayed for the relief that the Election Commission of India should change the contents and form of the Ballot Paper and all the candidates who have criminal antecedents or are facing a criminal trial should have their names in red color on the ballot paper so that the ordinary voter of our country can exercise his/her right to vote properly.

**Centrum Financial Services Ltd v State of NCT of Delhi, Criminal Appeal No.**

**94/2022 dated 28 January 2022** – Represented the applicant accused director in a bail proceeding under Section 437 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1908 before the Metropolitan Magistrate, Patiala House Courts on 4 July 2020. The EOW FIR No. 128/2019 was lodged by the Economic Offences Wing under Section 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120B. The allegations were that the Y director had secured loan worth Rs. 25 crores from an NBFC and had then siphoned off the money from the bank accounts of the company. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**Abdul Rasheed v State of Telangana, SLP (Crl) No. 2370/2022 dated 29 March 2022** – Represented my client in a matter in which the cancellation of bail order passed by the Hon`ble Telangana High Court was challenged by me before the Hon`ble Supreme Court. The FIR was lodged under Sections 302/120B and other offences against the accused. The bail was granted to accused by the Sessions Court but the Telengana High Court cancelled the bail granted by the Sessions Court owing to the alleged grave nature of the crime. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**Pramod Pandey v State of Bihar, WP (C) 000822 of 2021 dated 10 October 2022** – Represented my client in a matter in which the Writ Petition (PIL) was filed on behalf of a practicing lawyer who is practicing out of the Patna High Court. The Writ Petition (PIL) was filed seeking reliefs of directing the Patna High Court to ad hoc judges under Article 224A of the Constitution so that the burgeoning pendency of bail petitions be taken up and disposed. This Writ Petition (PIL) was filed as the Patna High Court was taking as long as 7 – 8 months to decide a Regular/Anticipatory Bail application. The first hearing post filing in these bail petitions was also coming after 1 – 2 months.

**Lakhan Singh v Amarjeet Singh & Anr, Criminal Appeal No. 2191/2022 dated 6 December 2022** – Represented the accused who was arrayed as Respondent No.2 in the SLP proceedings. The accused was convicted by the trial court for committing an offence under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The Delhi High Court had allowed the application of the accused to lead additional evidence on the point that the accused was of unsound mind at the time of the offence. This order of the High Court was challenged and argued before the Supreme Court. The provisions of Section 330 and 391 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 was interpreted in this reportable judgment.

**Adeeshwar Singhal v State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors, Diary Number 407612022 of 2023 dated 7 February 2023** – Represented the husband petitioner who was aggrieved with the dismissal of his petition under Section 482 for quashing of the FIR under Sections 498A/406 by the Hon`ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Indore. The husband petitioner was aggrieved by the fact that the wife respondent no.1 had taken part benefit out of the settlement agreement and after enjoying the same has reneged from the settlement agreement and is no more forthcoming in getting the matter quashed.

**Abhishek Bhansali v State, Diary Number 9512023 of 2023 dated 28 February 2023** – Represented the aggrieved husband whose anticipatory bail against an FIR lodged by the wife under Sections 406/498A was dismissed by the Hon`ble High Court at Lucknow. The SLP was preferred against the order of the Hon`ble Lucknow High Court which had disposed the anticipatory bail application stating that the petitioner may avail its right to apply for regular bail in terms of the principles laid down in the judgment Satender Antil v State.

**M/s Tahal Consulting Engineers Limited v M/s ND Waddar and Company & Ors, Arbitration Petition (Civil) No. 7 of 2023 dated 29 March 2023** – Successfully

represented the petitioner company in a Section 11 petition filed before the Hon`ble Supreme Court seeking appointment of an arbitrator in a dispute which related to payment of dues by the respondent to the petitioner owing to participation of the petitioner in a tender as the technical partner. The Court appointed a retired judge of Karnataka High Court as the arbitrator in the instant case.

**Ishwarlal Mali v Gopal & Ors, SLP (C) No. 24048/2023 dated 3 November 2023** – Successfully, represented the respondent and appeared on caveat in a pre notice hearing where the petitioner tenant had challenged the findings of the High Court vide which the High Court had affirmed the eviction order passed by the trial court and the first appellate court. The Hon`ble Supreme Court dismissed the SLP filed by the petitioner, but, giving the tenant 5 months time to vacate the premises.

**Emcotech Equipments Private Limited v Alcon Laboratories (India) Private Limited, Civil Appeal No. 7983 of 2023 New Delhi, 22 November 2023** – Represented my client who was a Corporate Debtor in proceedings arising out of a dispute for a specified amount which was allegedly to be paid by my client company to the petitioner company (Operational Creditor). The NCLAT had pronounced that the Debt in the instant case is admitted and hence, the insolvency application should be admitted and insolvency proceedings should be commenced by appointing a Resolution Professional. This Civil Appeal was filed against the NCLAT order. (Argued by a Senior Counsel).

## **B. DELHI HIGH COURT & OTHER HIGH COURTS**

**PC Jewellers (Export) v Commissioner of Customs Export, Cus. A.C. No. 04/2014, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/2192/2015 dated 25 March 2014** – Represented PC Jeweler before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court on the point of illegal levy of penalty and import duty under the Indian Customs Act, 1962, when the goods imported were for the purposes of re – export.

**\*\*\*\*\* v MC Sharma, Crl. Rev. P. No. 134/2015 dated 30 September 2016** – Represented \*\*\*\*\* before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court in a closely contested matrimonial dispute under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005.

**National Insurance Company Limited v Ashok Kumar Dogra (Deceased), MAC Appeal No. 400/2015 dated 03 March 2016** – Represented two minor children before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court who had lost their mother in a motor vehicle accident. The claim dispute was initiated by our clients under the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and the insurance company had appealed against the motor accident claims award passed by the trial court. Successfully resisted the appeal filed by the insurance company and got the same dismissed.

**\*\*\*\*\* v Sawitar Kaur & Ors, MAC Appeal No. 462/2015, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/2581/2017 dated 24 August 2017** – Represented \*\*\*\*\* in an appeal filed before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court against the arbitrary order of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal.

**Stellar Information Technology Private Limited v Rakesh Kumar & Ors, CS (Comm) No. 482/2016, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/2238/2016 dated 29 August 2016** – Successfully represented a young startup under the name Techchef Private Limited

in a litigation before Delhi High Court wherein the master company was trying to enforce non – compete and non – solicit clauses present under the Employment Contract. Successfully got the interim injunction vacated thereby resisting the illegal, arbitrary and void restraining clauses under the Employment Contract.

**Huntsman International (India) Private Limited v Abiss Textile Solutions Private Limited & Ors., CS (Comm) No. 619/2016, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/0897/2016 dated 21 February 2018** – Successfully represented a group of ex-employees of a company in a suit filed by the master company thereby trying to enforce the non – compete, non – solicit and confidentiality clauses in the Employment Agreement. Resisted the suit as filed by the plaintiff company and got the suit dismissed on grounds of lack of appropriate jurisdiction.

**Tradewel Spares (I) Private Limited v SCL Infratech Limited, RFA No. 550/2016, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/3712/2018 dated 09 October 2018** – Successfully represented Tradewel Spares before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court in a Suit for Claim. The District Court had dismissed the suit of the plaintiff i.e. Tradewel Spares on the point of limitation. The appeal filed by Tradewel was allowed and order of the District Court was set aside.

**JK Technosoft Limited v Ramesh Sambamoorthy, Arbitration Petition No. 342/2017, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/3047/2017 dated 18 September 2017** – Represented Ramesh Sambamoorthy before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court in a petition filed for appointment of arbitrator under Section 11(5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

**Girish BS & Ors v JK Technosoft Limited, FAO (OS) (COMM) No. 217/2017 dated 01 June 2018** – Successfully represented Girish BS & Ors before the Division Bench of Delhi High Court in an appeal which was preferred against the order of the Hon`ble Single Judge of the Delhi High Court. The subject matter of the dispute was the interpretation and enforcement of the Non – Compete and Non – Solicit clauses in the Employment Agreement.

**Sai Kripa Engineering Works & Ors v Narender Kumar Shah, FAO (OS) No. 291/2017, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/2715/2018 & Narender Kumar Shah v Sai Kripa Engineering Works, CS (OS) 431 of 2017, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/3107/2017 dated 02 August 2018** – Successfully represented Narender Kumar Shah in a Civil Suit for recovery before the Single Judge and the Division Bench of the Hon`ble Delhi High Court. The dispute revolved around the interpretation of Order 9, Rule 13. Both the Single & Division Bench of the Hon`ble Delhi High Court ruled in favor of Narender Kumar Shah and upheld the money decree in favor of Narender Shah.

**Standard Ganpati Merchandise Private Limited v WATCO Shipping Services & Ors, FAO No. 89/2017, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/1229/2017 dated 03 May 2017** – Represented Standard Ganpati Merchandise Private Limited before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court in a shipping claim dispute filed by Standard Ganpati Merchandise Private Limited against the shipping line company for wrong delivery of the imported goods. The dispute revolves around the concept and meaning of TO ORDER Bill of Lading vis a vis ORDINARY Bill of Lading.

**RV Solutions Private Limited v Ajay Dixit & Ors, IA No. 5097 to 5099 in CS (Comm) 745/2017, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/0147/2019 dated 15 January 2019** – Represented RV Solutions before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court. The Delhi High Court

held in this case that even when non signatories of an arbitration clause are made parties to the Civil Suit, the matter can be referred to Arbitration if the subject matter of the dispute remains common amongst all the parties.

**Shiv Shakti Metals Works & Ors v Thukral Steel Corp, Crl. Rev. A. No. 841/2017, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/1331/2018 dated 05 April 2018** – Successfully represented the proprietors of Shiv Shakti Metals Works having been convicted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 by the Tis Hazari Courts. The revision filed by Shiv Shakti Metals Works was partly allowed thereby substantially reducing the sentence awarded by the Tis Hazari Courts.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Delhi, Bail Application No. 324/2018 dated 09 July 2018** – Successfully secured anticipatory bail for \*\*\*\*\* under an FIR lodged by the Economic Offences Wing under Sections 406/409/420/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. False and frivolous allegations of bank fraud and siphoning of funds were successfully rebutted before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court on the basis of which the anticipatory bail was granted to Mr. Amit Poddar.

**Pranav Gupta v Adeesh Gupta, CS (OS) No. 154/2018, IA No. 4921/2018 dated 13 April 2018** – Successfully secured ex-parte temporary injunction in favor of Pranav Gupta in a more than 100 crores partition suit wherein Pranav Gupta had sued the Liberty shoe company involving family properties and partnership firms.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Delhi, Criminal Appeal No. 592/2018, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/0017/2019 dated 08 January 2019** – Successfully, represented a minor victim who was raped by the accused. The Sessions Court, Saket had acquitted the accused person on the basis of minor variations in the statements of the prosecution witnesses. The Delhi High Court overturned the acquittal and passed the conviction order in favor of the child victim. (Pro – Bono through iPro Bono)

**Minor P represented by her father v State, WP (Crl) 3822/2018 dated 28 January 2019** - Represented Minor P (through her father) wherein free copy of the chargesheet was not being provided to the victims under POCSO Act, 2012, offences thereby depriving the victim from arguing effectively and representing themselves at the stage of framing of charges. (Pro – Bono through iPro Bono)

**PC Jeweler Limited v National Insurance Company Limited, IA No. 13702/2018, CS (COMM) No. 1007/2016, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/0745/2019 dated 22 February 2019** – Represented PC Jeweler on the point of production of documents during the course of a Civil Trial. The Hon`ble Delhi High Court once again re emphasized the procedure of marking and exhibiting documents during trial and applied the settled position of law to the case at hand.

**Ravinder Pal Singh v Narinder Singh, RFA No. 936/2019 dated 30 October 2019** – Successfully, secured an ex-parte interim injunction (status quo orders) in Suit for Specific Performance representing the plaintiff while challenging the erroneous judgment of the Trial Court.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of NCT of Delhi, Crl. MC No. 3255/2019 dated 10 December 2019** – Successfully secured a favorable order in favor of the petitioner client vide which the onerous and unreasonable condition of deposit of FDR worth Rs. 25,00,000/- was imposed by the Hon`ble Sessions Court while granting Anticipatory Bail to the accused petitioner.

**Rakesh Kumar & Ors v Stellar Information Technology Private Limited & Ors, Crl. Rev. P No. 422/2019, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/0202/2020 dated 20 January 2020**– Represented Rakesh Kumar & Ors. before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court against the Order of the Metropolitan Magistrate, Saket Courts in a case in which allegations of forgery and fabrication of documents were leveled by my client (complainants) against the accused persons.

**Shree Ji Sewa Sanstha v Government of NCT of Delhi & Anr, WP No. 3565/2020 dated 17 June 2020** – Public Interest Litigation filed and argued on behalf of "Shree Ji Sewa Sanstha" is listed today as before the Hon`ble Court of Chief Justice at Delhi High Court. An immediate direction was prayed for from the Hon`ble Court to the Delhi Government to use their executive powers under Section 24, 38 and 39 of NDMA Act, 2005 read with Section 2(1) of the Epidemic Diseases Act, 1897 and cap (put an upper limit) the prices of the daily room rent at Private Hospitals. (Pro – Bono for Shree Ji Sewa Sanstha, F – 5/4, Model Town – 2.)

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Delhi, Crl. MC No. 1714/2020 dated 28 August 2020** – Filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for getting the declaration of proclaimed offender under Section 82 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 quashed. The matter was argued at length before Hon`ble Justice Vibhu Bakhru of Delhi High Court.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Delhi, Bail Application No. 3785/2020 dated 01 December 2020** – Anticipatory Bail petition filed and argued on behalf of \*\*\*\*\* in an FIR filed at the Economic Offence Wing, Mandir Marg with the allegations of criminal breach of trust and criminal conspiracy to the tune of Rs. 3.5 crores. The petition was argued before Hon`ble Justice Manoj Ohri.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Delhi, Crl. MC No. 2384/2020, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/2988/2020 dated 04 December 2020** – Successfully represented the petitioner who was an accused in an offence alleging Rape against him. The matter was quashed by the Hon`ble Delhi High Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 on the basis of the settlement which reached between the complainant and the accused person.

**Georges Monin Sas and Ors v Pekers and Company and Ors, CS (COMM) No. 566/2020, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/1501/2021 dated 04 August 2021** – Successfully represented the defendant company in a suit for permanent and mandatory injunction along with damages filed by the plaintiff. The allegation was that the defendant was infringing the trade dress and trademark of the Plaintiff company which is an Italian Company dealing with bottled syrups. We successfully represented the defendant and no interim injunction was passed against the defendant thereby leading to a settlement between the parties in the matter. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**Anil Baghai v Harsh Mendiratta & Ors, CS (OS) No. 290/2021, Alternative Citation – MANU/DE/2780/2021 dated 06 December 2021** – Successfully represented the Plaintiffs and secured an ex parte injunction under Order 39, Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 against the defaulting builders who had caused damage to the adjoining building of the Plaintiffs. The matter was finally amicably settled between the parties. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Rajasthan, S.B. Crl. Misc. Bail App. No. 17597/2021, Rajasthan High Court at Jaipur** – Represented the accused father and son who were accused of having cheated the complainant who had supplied the accused material worth Rs. 1.1 crores. The anticipatory bail application of the accused father and son was premised on the facts of the case being civil in nature and that no intention to cheat was ever present in the minds of the petitioners.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Crl Rev P No. 416/2021 dated 10 December 2021** – Revision petition was filed against the order of the Sessions Court, Patiala House wherein charges were framed against the petitioner accused under Sections 376/354C/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The Delhi High Court heard detailed submissions on whether or not charges should be framed under an FIR wherein rape allegations have been made by a girl against the accused after the two were in a long dating relationship.

**M/s Govinda Polytex India Pvt Ltd v Regional Director, Northern Region, Ministry of Corporate Affairs Delhi & Ors, WP (C) No. 1180/2022 dated 19 January 2022** – Successfully, secured interim injunction in the Writ Petition which was filed against an order passed by the Regional Director under Section 16 of the Companies Act, 2013. The order was passed against our clients thereby injunctioning our clients to use a name which was allegedly similar to the name of the complainant. The High Court on the first hearing heard both parties and granted interim stay relief.

**Sarup Singh v Govt of NCT of Delhi & Ors, IA No. 17419/2021 in Testamentary Case No. 58/2021 dated 29 April 2022** – Successfully, represented the Respondent No.4 in a complex family litigation where the contesting brother i.e., Respondent No.4 was challenging a probate petition filed before the Hon`ble Delhi High Court. Interim Application as filed by me was allowed and status quo orders against some properties out of the schedule of properties as placed by the petitioner was lifted through the above mentioned order. (Argued by a Senior Counsel)

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Bail Application No. 4283/2021 dated 17 May 2022** – Interim Bail petition was filed and argued on behalf of the petitioner in a case where the petitioner was in prison for an alleged offence he committed under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

**RV Solutions Private Limited v Ajay Dixit & Ors, Case Ref No. DAC/2375/01/-19, Institutional Arbitration conducted at Delhi Arbitration Centre dated 28 June 2020** – Represented RV Solutions before the Hon`ble Arbitrator appointed by the Delhi High Court. The claims which were filed against the respondents pertained to the breach of non compete and non solicit clauses which were violated by the employees in a blatant and extreme manner.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Bail Application No. 4283 of 2021 dated 21 July 2022** - Represented and argued a regular bail matter for the accused wherein he was charged under Section 6 of the Prevention of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 372 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

**Mahesh Chand Garg v PNB & Ors, Writ Petition (Civil) 11437 of 2019 dated 21 July 2022** – Represented the Auction Purchaser in a Writ Petition which was filed by the Personal Guarantor against the order of the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, Delhi. The petition was filed against the order passed by the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, Delhi in an appeal vide which the appellate tribunal had remanded the matter back to the



Debts Recovery Tribunal, Delhi for hearing on the point of “Limitation” for filing Section 17 (Securitization Application) by the Personal Guarantor.

**Alexander Francis v State, Bail Application 4283 of 2021 dated 21 July 2022** – Represented the petitioner in a bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973. The client was apprehended for an alleged offence which he committed under Sections 6 of the Prevention of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2015 and Section 376 / 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of Delhi, Crl. MC No. 663/2023, dated 1 June 2023** – Successfully represented the petitioner who was an accused in an offence alleging Rape against him. The matter was quashed by the Hon`ble Delhi High Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 on the basis of the settlement which reached between the complainant and the accused person.

**Rita Jetly v Deepak Jetly, RFA 1030 of 2019 dated 11 September 2023** – Represented the respondents in the regular first appeal which was filed against the dismissal of the Suit for Declaration by the Hon`ble ADJ Court, Rohini Courts for improper valuation of the suit and lack of payment of the correct court fees in the same. The Hon`ble Delhi High Court remanded back the matter to the Hon`ble Court of ADJ Rohini thereby directing the ADJ court to conduct a trial in the matter and decide the issues at hand.

**Rumia Sharma v Rakesh Tilakraj Sharma, MAT. APP. (FC) 71/2023, Delhi High Court dated 3 October 2023** - Represented the wife Rumia Sharma in a matrimonial appeal thereby challenging the order for grant of maintenance under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. The appeal was premised on an incorrect assessment of the income of the husband and suppression of real income by the husband.

**Deepak Kumar v Department of Transport of GNCTD of Delhi, Writ Petition No. 2487 of 2019, Delhi High Court dated 10 April 2024** – Successfully represented the petitioner whose driving license was suspended without giving him any show cause hearing or opportunity to reply. The Hon`ble Delhi High Court allowed the writ petition after considering submissions made by both the parties. The Court remanded the matter back to the respondent department and directed it to hear the petitioner and then pass a reasoned order.

**Shrawan Sultania v Avneet Goyal, RC Rev 454/2016, Delhi High Court dated 7 May 2024** - Represented the tenant revisionist and concluded final arguments in this rent revision which was filed against an ex parte eviction decree passed by the Rent Controller, Tis Hazari. The arguments advanced by me included that no effective service till date has been made on the tenant and hence the ex parte eviction decree should be set aside. The Delhi High Court did not find any merit in the revision and dismissed the same.

### **C. ARBITRATIONS / TRIBUNALS & COMMISSIONS**

**CTS Industries Limited v Oriental Insurance Company Limited, First Appeal No. 252/2009, Alternative Citation – MANU/CF/0123/2017, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC) dated 01 March 2017** – Successfully represented CTS Industries Limited before the Hon`ble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission in a dispute arising out of an insurance claim which was illegally rejected by the insurance company. Successfully, got the insurance claim allowed through the above-mentioned judgment. The judgment passed by the Hon`ble NCDRC overturned the judgment of the West Bengal State Consumer Commission and allowed the claim of CTS Industries Limited.

**Hindustan Poly Packs v State Bank of India & Anr., First Appeal No. 03/2013,**

**National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC) dated 16 October 2015** – Successfully argued against an order passed by the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Haryana by which the State Commission had dismissed the complaint of the Complainant without even issuing notice to the Respondents. Upon successful argumentation, the NCDRC was pleased to remand the matter back to the State Commission with a direction to give a fair hearing to both parties and deciding the issue on its merits.

**PC Jeweller Limited v New India Assurance Company Limited, Consumer Complaint No. 565 of 2014, State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, 2 September 2022** - Represented the Insured Company in a case where the valuable jewelry of the Insured Company was lost in transit when the employees of the Insured Company were carrying the jewelry from the head office of the insured company in Delhi to the showrooms of the Insured Company in Pali and Bhilwara. The judgment analyzed the policy clauses and interpreted the words “reasonable care” and applied the same to the facts of the present case.

**Arvind Yadav v Omaxe Azorim Developers Private Limited, Consumer Case No. 604/2014, Alternative Citation – MANU/CF/0392/2017, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC) dated 20 April 2017** – Successfully represented, Arvind Yadav who was an aggrieved home buyer. Conducted the dispute before the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and secured the relief of refund of the entire amount paid by the home buyer along with appropriate interest on the refund amount.

**Bani Arora v Prem Dynit Private Limited, 135(ND)/2017, NCLT New Delhi dated 08 August 2017** – Represented the petitioner minority shareholder client who filed a petition against the alleged oppression and mismanagement which was being committed by the majority shareholders.

**Sunil Parmanand Kewalramani v Urban Sanctuaries Developers Pvt Ltd, CP No. 223/(MAH)/2017 NCLT New Delhi dated 28 August 2017** – Represented the Petitioner, filed and argued for transfer of transferring the pending company law petitions before the National Company Law Tribunal Mumbai Bench to National Company Law Tribunal Ahmedabad Bench.

**JK Sant v Bharat Nidhi & Ors, CA No. 03/58/2017, NCLT New Delhi** – Represented the aggrieved petitioner client whose shares were fraudulently transferred and disposed off thereby depriving the petitioner of the fruits of his shares.

**Hindustan Poly Packs v State Bank of India & Ors, First Appeal No. 543/2017, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC), dated 25 May 2018** – Successfully represented Hindustan Polypack in an appeal filed against the impugned judgment passed by the Haryana State Consumer Commission wherein the genuine consumer complaint of the insured Hindustan Polypack was wrongfully dismissed by the State Commission. The Hon`ble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, New Delhi was pleased to allow the appeal after examining the nature of the claim and the arbitrary reasons given by the insurance company behind rejecting the genuine claim of the insured.

**Pranav Gupta v Geofin Investment Private Limited, MANU/NC/4913/2018, NCLT**

**New Delhi dated 03 May 2018** – Represented Pranav Gupta before the National Company Law Tribunal in an insolvency proceeding wherein, Pranav Gupta was a “financial creditor” under Section 7 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016

**Kamal Gulati v IDV Technology Solutions Private Limited, (IB) 338 (PB) 2018 NCLT New Delhi dated 15 February 2019** – Represented Kamal Gulati who was a financial creditor under Section 7 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 and got the corporate debtor i.e. IDV Technology Solutions Private Limited admitted into the corporate insolvency resolution process.

**Totem Media Solutions Private Limited v V2 Retail Limited, Company Petition (IB) No. 2618/ND/2019, NCLT Delhi dated 25 June 2020** – Represented V2 Retail Limited in the capacity of the Corporate Debtor before National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) Delhi in a petition filed by Totem Media Solutions Private Limited under Section 9 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. The matter related to extension of service by Totem Media Solutions Private Limited to V2 Retail Limited and the question which was decided by the NCLT was whether or not V2 Retail Limited has a preexisting material dispute on the quality and price of services rendered by V2 Retail Limited to Totem Media Solutions Private Limited.

**Gopal Agarwal v Disciplinary Committee, ICAI, Appeal No. 08/ICAI/2019, ICAI Appellate Authority dated 17 August 2019** – Successfully appeared Institute for Chartered Accountants of India before the Appellate Authority of the ICAI. Got the appeal dismissed which had been filed by the Chartered Accountant who was convicted for breach of professional duty by the Disciplinary Committee, ICAI Kolkata.

**Swapan Bose, Deputy Director, Enforcement Directorate v \*\*\*\*\*, OC 1320/2020, Adjudicating Authority, PMLA** – Successfully represented the accused before the Adjudicating Authority setup under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002. The Hon`ble Authority declined the complaint of the Deputy Director (PMLA) to confirm the provisional attachment made by the Deputy Director. Our submission that the attached bank account was not “proceeds of crime” was accepted by the Authority.

**Raamachandreen CR v Disciplinary Committee, ICAI, Appeal No. 18/ICAI/2020, ICAI Appellate Authority dated 18 December 2020** – Successfully, represented the ICAI in an appeal preferred by the appellant CA who was punished vide suspension order for 30 days passed by the Disciplinary Committee of ICAI. The erring Chartered Accountant had signed and audited the financial documents of companies in which he himself was standing as a guarantor.

**RV Solutions v Datawind Innovations, Case Ref No. 1994/04-18, DIAC Institutional Arbitration conducted at Delhi Arbitration Centre dated 28 February 2020** – Successfully, argued and secured an arbitral award for the Claimant in a dispute where the services were taken by the respondent. The respondent took the services and later ended up being in insolvency. The Arbitration was conducted before the Delhi High Court Arbitration Centre.

**Boggaram Diwakar Murali Krishna v Disciplinary Directorate, ICAI, Appeal No. 30/ICAI/2021, ICAI Appellate Authority dated 1 December 2021** – Successfully appeared before the Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal, ICAI on behalf of ICAI and successfully got the application for stay on punishment filed by the errant Chartered Accountant

dismissed. The Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal observed that the Chartered Accountant has conducted his duties with negligence while signing the financials of a company which was involved in a bank fraud.

**CA KK Ramachandran v Disciplinary Committee of the ICAI & Ors., ICAI Appeal No. 22/ICAI/2020, ICAI Appellate Authority dated 01 January 2022** – Successfully represented ICAI before the Hon`ble Appellate Authority, ICAI in the matter CA KK Ramachandran v Disciplinary Committee of the ICAI & Ors., Appeal No. 22/ICAI/2020. Resisted the appeal filed by the CA. The same was done by me on behalf of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India in a case where the allegations made against the deviant Chartered Accountant related to professional misconduct in colluding with the members of a society to wrongfully transfer assets of an old society into a new society.

**In Re Kalpit Sultania v. IREL, Case No. 22/2021, Competition Commission of India dated 03 January 2022** –Successfully, represented my client before DG, Competition Commission of India thereby praying for conducting investigation under Section 26(1) against IREL. THE DG, Competition Commission of India vide its order dated 03 January 2022 admitted the petition of the Complainant and commenced investigation. The allegations against IREL were that they were abusing the price and the market relating to Beach Sand Silimanite as IREL was the sole supplier of the same in India. Beach Sand Silimanite is used in manufacturing of refractories which is further used in steel plants.

**Sanjiv Puri v Suncity Projects & Ors, Consumer Case 1384 of 2018, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission dated 10 November 2022** - Successfully represented the consumer in this case wherein the consumer sought for refund of his amount of deposits along with interest. The builder had delayed the possession of the project and the consumer was suffering due to non receipt of the completed project and also non receipt of the refund with interest. The case was legally complex because a tripartite agreement was also executed between the consumer, NBFC and the builder where the NBFC has loaned money to the consumer.

**M/s Sharada Developers v M/s Agarwal Associates Promoters Limited, OMP (I) COMM 8562/2022, OMP (I) COMM 8563/2022, OMP (I) COMM 8564/2022, OMP (I) COMM 8565/2022 and OMP (I) COMM 8568/2022 at Delhi District Courts on 25 November 2022** - Appeared under Section 9 proceedings for the Petitioner buyer and secured interim order on the first hearing of status quo on the immovable properties which were about to be sold by the owner/developer of the property.

**Decoprde Infratech Pvt Ltd v Adani Tracks Management Services Private Limited, Arbitration Proceedings No. 8 of 2022 and 27 of 2022 at Gujarat High Court Arbitration Centre on 6 March 2023** – Appeared for the contractor in a construction contract arbitration wherein the claim was premised on loss of profits and overheads. The construction related to building of platform tracks in three districts of Gujarat.

**Yogesh Gori v ICAI, Appeal No. 24/ICAI/2021 before Appellate Tribunal, Institute of Chartered Accountants of India on 7 April 2023** – Successfully appeared on behalf of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India against the deviant Chartered Accountant who was charged with being negligent in auditing the accounts of his client company. The disciplinary committee whose order was challenged before the Appellate Tribunal had ordered a punishment of removal of the name of the Chartered Accountant for a period of one year from the rolls. The appeal was successfully resisted and got dismissed after detailed arguments.

**Naresh Tikamchand Jain v ICAI, Appeal No. 14/ICAI/2021 before Appellate Tribunal, Institute of Chartered Accountants of India on 7 April 2023** – Successfully appeared on behalf of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India against the deviant Chartered Accountant who was charged with colluding with one of the directors of the client company and thereby conducting special auditing on the exact same lines as the director wanted. The disciplinary committee had convicted the Chartered Accountant and the Appellate Authority upheld this conviction.

**Aroma De France v United India Insurance Company Limited, Consumer Case No. 1355 of 2016, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission** – Successfully appeared on behalf of the Insured consumer whose claim was wrongfully rejected by the Insurance company. The insurance claim was in relation to a fire that had taken place at the godown of the Insured company.

**M/s Sharada Developers v M/s Agarwal Associates (Promoters) Limited, DIAC No. 6030/03-2023, DIAC No. 6030/03-2023, Before Sole Arbitrator Ms. Gayatri Verma dated 7 June 2023** - Successfully argued an application under Section 17 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996 and secured an interim injunction in favor of the Claimant in a dispute which related to specific performance of an agreement to sell. The Arbitrator allowed the application filed by us after hearing both parties on multiple hearings. It ordered that the property in question would not be sold to any third party till the pendency of the arbitration proceedings.

**Tehmul B Sethna v ICAI & Ors, Appeal No. DEF 23/ICAI/2022 before the Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal of Institute of Chartered Accountants of India on 18 September 2023** - Appeared on behalf of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India in a matter where the erring Chartered Accountant who misused the bank account of his client trust and used the same for the purpose of converting donation receipts into cash for personal gains. The Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal set aside the order of the Disciplinary Committee (DC) of ICAI wherein the DC had convicted the Chartered Accountant and remanded the matter back to the DC on the ground that the order of the DC was not signed by all members (adjudicators) who decided the complaint.

**Naresh Kumar Singla v Disciplinary Committee, ICAI, Appeal No. DEF 09/ICAI/2022 before the Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal of Institute of Chartered Accountants of India on 12 October 2023** - Appeared on behalf of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India in a matter where the erring Chartered Accountant had allegedly issued a false net worth certificate to a company who on the basis of the false net worth certificate had secured membership of National Spot Exchange Limited. The Hon`ble Disciplinary Committee convicted the Chartered Accountant and sentenced him with suspension of the sentence for 6 month. The Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal set aside the conviction order holding that while the procedure of the CA in obtaining the net worth certificate was wrong, but, the contents of the same and hence, the chartered accountant did not deserve to be punished.

**Mulraj Devchand Gala v DC ICAI, ICAI, Appeal No. DEF 05/ICAI/2022 before the Hon`ble Appellate Tribunal of Institute of Chartered Accountants of India on 5 November 2023** - Successfully appeared before the above mentioned tribunal wherein the aggrieved Chartered Accountant had challenged the order of the Disciplinary Committee vide which the Disciplinary Committee had convicted the Chartered Accountant for "Professional Misconduct" and punished him for a period of 1 year by suspending his license to practise for this period. The Chartered Accountant was accused of not validating

the requirement documents during the statutory audit due to which third party banks ended up relying on incorrect balance sheets. The Hon`ble Tribunal dismissed the appeal of the Chartered Accountant and upheld the order of the Disciplinary Committee.

**Alcon Laboratories India Pvt Ltd v Emco Tech Equipments Pvt Ltd, Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 215 of 2023, National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (Principal Bench), New Delhi, 22 November 2023** - Appeared and argued for my client Corporate Debtor in proceedings arising out of a dispute for a specified amount which was allegedly to be paid by my client company to the petitioner company (Operational Creditor). The NCLAT was seized of the appeal vide which the Appellant Alcon had prayed for the admission of the insolvency petition in light of clear and unequivocal admissions allegedly made by the Corporate Debtor.

**Deputy Director, Enforcement Directorate v Ajay Singh & Ors, PAO No. 03/2023 in OC No. 2056/2023 before the Adjudicating Authority, Provention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 dated 18 January 2024** - Represented and argued on behalf of one of the accused whose property was provisionally attached by the Deputy Director of Enforcement Directorate on the allegations that the property has been acquired through proceeds of crime which were generated vide a scheduled offence for which a separate FIR was already registered. The Adjudicating Authority confirmed the provisional attachment vide this order.

**PC Jewellers Limited v Bajaj Allianz General Insurance Company Limited, Consumer Complaint No 223 of 2015 before the Hon`ble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission on 29 Nov 2023** - Successfully represented my client in a case where the insurance company had rejected the insurance claim of my client. The claim was lodged by my client on account of an accident leading to total loss of the car owned by my client. The accident resulted in total loss of the Lamborghini Gallardo car owned by my client. The claim was rejected by the insurance company on account of breach of terms and conditions of the insurance policy by my client. The Hon`ble National Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum negated the grounds for rejection and allowed the claim of my client.

#### **D. DELHI DISTRICT COURTS**

**Radiant Hallmarking v Lasit Lighting, Suit No. 619692/2011, Additional District Judge, Rohini District Courts dated 21 December 2018** – Successfully represented by me in a suit wherein we were claiming compensation and damages for the supply of a technology and machine which was nonfunctional right from the beginning. After a 7 year long trial where various witnesses deposed on both sides, the Additional District Judge was pleased to grant a decree of approx. Rs. 35,00,000/- in favor of the plaintiff.

**Saraswati Singh v Alma Suman & Ors, Suit No. 1019/2013, Additional District Judge, Ghaziabad dated 13 October 2017** – Successfully represented Alma Suman and Subhash Suman who were impleaded as defendants in a Civil Action moved by the plaintiffs. The Suit of the plaintiff was dismissed by the Hon`ble Additional District Judge, Ghaziabad. The Suit was filed for an alleged breach of Agreement to Sell by Alma Suman and Subhash Suman.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State & Ors, Criminal Revision No. 25/15, Rohini District Courts dated 17 February 2016** – Successfully represented the victim wife before the Sessions Court, Rohini in a revision filed by the in laws of the victim wife against the summoning order passed by the Magistrate under Section 406 and 498A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The

revision petition was dismissed and the summoning order passed in favor of the victim wife was upheld by the Sessions Court.

**State v \*\*\*\*\* & Ors, FIR No. 279 of 2012, Sessions Case No. 28/2015, Sessions Court, Rohini District Courts dated 18 October 2016** – Successfully, secured an acquittal of the prime accused \*\*\*\*\* in a Sessions Trial under Sections 354/323/341/509 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The client was represented throughout the trial including the stages of evidence and final arguments during the course of the criminal trial. The false allegations of outraging the modesty of the complainant were exposed and rebutted.

**State v \*\*\*\*\* & Ors, SC No. 65/2015, Sessions Court, Rohini District Courts dated 03 June 2017** – Successfully, secured an acquittal of 4 accused persons in a Sessions Trial under Section 304B and Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Conducted the entire trial including cross examination, 313 statement and defence evidence on behalf of the 4 accused persons who were charged with the offence of dowry death and were potentially looking at a jail time of 14 years or even more.

**PC Jeweler Limited v Tata AIG General Insurance Company Limited, Suit No. 61116/16, Civil Judge, Rohini District Courts dated 27 April 2018** – Successfully represented PC Jeweller in securing the insurance claim for loss of car in a car accident. The insurance company had wrongfully rejected the claim of PC Jeweller. The Civil Court, Rohini ruled against the insurance company and in favor of PC Jeweller.

**Abhipra Commodity Consultants Pvt Ltd v. Pradeep Kumar Aggarwal, CC No. 593/1, Tis Hazari Courts dated 26 September 2016** – Represented the accused in a prosecution launched under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. The alleged underlying liability was concerning the margin money which the accused had not paid in a futures/options transaction.

**M/s Synergy Steels Limited v ICICI Lombard General Insurance Company & Ors, Hon`ble Additional District Judge, Tis Hazari Courts, Civil Suit No. 610396/16** – Successfully represented the plaintiff company against the insurance company in a case where the insurance company had wrongfully rejected the genuine claim of the plaintiff company owing to the alleged “negligence” of the plaintiff in securing the insured vehicle.

**Kamal Kishore Saboo v Rajeev Arora, CS No. 59305/16, Rohini Courts dated 10 February 2020** – Successfully, secured a money decree from the Hon`ble District Courts, Rohini in a Civil Suit filed under Order 34 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

**Sunshine Trading Co. v Malik Trading Co., CC No. 46840/2016, Karkardooma Courts dated 05 March 2022** – Represented the Complainant in the Section 138 prosecution for a cheque dishonor worth Rs. 7,00,000/- which was issued by the accused in favor of the complainant. The proceedings took place before the Metropolitan Magistrate, Karkardooma Courts.

**Standard Ganpati Merchandise Pvt Ltd v M/s WATCO Shipping Services & Ors, CS DJ No. 7458/2016, Saket Courts dated 28 March 2022** – Represented the Plaintiff before the Additional District Judge, Saket Courts in a fairly complex maritime dispute where the questions of transferable bill of lading and import general manifest were

involved.

**Shubham Bagla v IIPM, CS No. 58117, Civil Judge, Patiala House Courts dated 03 April 2018** – Successfully secured the relief of damages and compensation in favor of Shubham Bagla against IIPM. IIPM had misrepresented its credentials before Shubham Bagla on the basis of which IIPM had secured advance fees for a course which IIPM was not authorized by the appropriate departments / government to conduct. The Civil Judge, Patiala House Courts allowed the suit filed by Shubham Bagla and granted decree of damages / compensation in favor of Shubham Bagla.

**Sunita Devi v Gajraj, MACT No. 356986/16, MACT Court, Tis Hazari Courts dated 16 November 2018** – Successfully secured the monetary award claim in favor of Sunita Devi who is the wife of the deceased victim. The deceased victim died in a road accident. The evidence was led and contentions of the insurance company were rebutted on behalf of the clients thereby leading to a successful claim in favor of Sunita Devi.

**Shri Kishan Lal v PC Jewellers Pvt. Ltd., MACT No. 4303/2016, Saket Courts dated 06 September 2017** – Represented the respondent company which had lent its car to the deceased accused charged for rash and negligent driving under Sections 279/304-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. It was argued that there was no cause of action against the respondent company, the deceased himself was responsible for driving in contravention of traffic rules, and vehicle was insured with the other respondent company. Ultimately, damages were awarded to the petitioner by the respondent no. 2.

**Sanjay Thakur v Rasik Thakkar, Civil Suit No. 8988/2016, Karkardooma Courts dated 23 December 2017** – Represented the plaintiff in a Suit for Perpetual and Mandatory Injunction wherein the plaintiff sued to remove the illegal encroachments of the parking area which was illegally captured by the resident tenants in the same building.

**Tradewel Spares Pvt Ltd v M/s Delta Construction Systems Ltd, Civil Suit No. 11709/2016, Tis Hazari Courts dated 21 February 2019** – Successfully, secured a civil money decree against the defendants in a contentious and litigated case where the defendant had taken material from the plaintiff company but were not clearing the outstanding dues.

**Rita Jetley v Deepak Jetley, Suit No. 803/2018, Additional District Judge, Rohini District Courts dated 30 October 2021** – Successfully resisted Civil Suit relating to declaration and interpretation of the unregistered will left behind by the father of the contesting parties. The interim application for injunction as filed by the opponent plaintiff was dismissed. The suit was also ultimately dismissed by the Rohini District Courts under Order 7, Rule 10 as moved by us.

**XXX v YYY, HMA No. 1736/2018, Family Court, Rohini Courts dated 15 March 2022** – Represent the husband client in a matrimonial dispute. The interim maintenance proceedings was contested between the parties and the Hon`ble Family Court, Rohini passed a detailed order while granting maintenance to the wife.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Bail Application No. 2235/2019, Sessions Court, Tis Hazari dated 25 October 2019** – Successfully secured regular bail vide bail order dated 25 January 2019 granted by the Hon`ble Court of Shri Ramesh Kumar – I, Sessions Court, Tis Hazari. The regular bail was secured in a matter concerning an EOW FIR wherein allegations of fraud



and cheating to the tune of Rs. 25 crores was alleged against the accused persons.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Bail Application No 3372/2019, Sessions Court, Patiala House dated 29 November 2019** – Successfully secured regular bail from the Hon`ble Sessions Court in a case where false allegations of rape were leveled by the complainant against the accused client.

**Usha & Ors v Sujit Yadav, Misc. Application No. 01/2020 in MT No. 313/19, Hon`ble Family Courts, Dwarka, dated 15 September 2022** - Successfully, secured interim maintenance for the wife who had been abandoned by her husband and was living with her three children. The Hon`ble Family court awarded maintenance on the basis of the fact that the wife did not have any source of income while the husband can definitely atleast earn Rs. 9,000/- per man if not more, as he is an able bodied man. (Pro – Bono)

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Sessions Court, CC No. 5387/2021, Patiala House Courts** – Represented the applicant accused in a bail proceeding under Section 437 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1908 before the Metropolitan Magistrate, Patiala House Courts on 4 July 2020. The EOW FIR No. 0053/2019 was lodged by the Economic Offences Wing under Section 406, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120B. The allegations were that forgery has been committed by the applicant accused in getting a Sale Deed registered in the individual name of the applicant accused whereas the property was owned by the Joint Family.

**Rita Jetley v Deepak Jetley, Criminal Revision No. 26/2021, Sessions Court, Rohini Courts dated 30 October 2021** – Successfully represented the proposed accused respondent in a criminal revision filed before Rohini Sessions Court. The criminal revision was filed by the petitioner challenging the dismissal of criminal complaint by the Magistrate Courts, Rohini under Sections 406/420/467/468/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. I was able to get the order of the Magistrate Court upheld while the criminal revision was dismissed by the Sessions Court.

**Master Marshal Alexander Francis v State of NCT of Delhi & Ors, Criminal Appeal No. 16/2022, Dwarka Courts dated 07 April 2022** – Represented the victim/appellant before the Hon`ble Sessions Court, Dwarka. The appeal was filed against the order of the Hon`ble Juvenile Justice Board vide which the date of offence as committed by the accused was considered wrongly by the Hon`ble Juvenile Justice Board.

**State v YYYY, FIR No. 782/2021, Sessions Case No. 154/2022, Tis Hazari Courts dated 2 May 2022** – Successfully represented a client who was charged under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Argued and got a favorable regular bail order from the Sessions Court, Tis Hazari.

**State v Ravi Ranjan Jha, FIR No. 480/2021, 1<sup>st</sup> Bail Application pre chargesheet - CR Case No. 4766/2022, Tis Hazari Courts** – Successfully represented the Complainant in getting the regular bail application of the accused dismissed in a case in which the accused had committed criminal breach of trust in the capacity of an employee and misappropriated Rs. 22,00,000/-.

**State v Ravi Ranjan Kumar, 2<sup>nd</sup> Bail Application pre chargesheet, Bail Appln No. 2033 of 2022, 26 May 2022, Hon`ble Sessions Court, Tis Hazari Courts** – Successfully

represented the Complainant in a case wherein he was duped of Rs. 21,45,000/- by his own employee. Sections charged were Section 406 and Section 408 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. Regular bail was applied by the accused before the Hon`ble Sessions Court and on opposition by us, the Sessions Court dismissed the bail application of the accused.

**Orient Bell Limited v Thanu Trading India Pvt Ltd, CIS No. 1934/2021, Metropolitan Magistrate, Tis Hazari Courts** - Successfully, secured an acquittal in a case of dishonor of cheque where the accused was facing a potential prison time of 2 years and a potential liability of Rs. 45,00,000/-. The final acquittal judgment acquitted the company and the two main directors who were implicated in the alleged offence.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, Bail Application No. 1297 of 2022, Additional Sessions Judge, Saket Courts** – Represented and argued a regular bail where the accused was in judicial custody for an alleged offence of “Attempt to Murder”. The alleged crime took place outside a hotel after the spirits of the guests in the hotel were high in a party.

**State v Ravi Ranjan Kumar, 1<sup>st</sup> Bail Application post chargesheet, FIR No. 480 of 2021, 4 August 2022, Hon`ble Magistrate Court, Tis Hazari Courts** – Successfully represented the Complainant in a case wherein he was duped of Rs. 21,45,000/- by his own employee. Sections charged were Section 406 and Section 408 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. 3<sup>rd</sup> Regular bail was applied by the accused before the Hon`ble Magistrate Court and on opposition by us, the Magistrate Court dismissed the bail application of the accused.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State of NCT of Delhi, Criminal Revision No. 416 of 2022, 4<sup>th</sup> August 2022, Hon`ble Sessions Court, Tis Hazari** – Represented Sanjay Tulsyan in a Criminal Revision filed against the order of the Hon`ble Metropolitan Magistrate Court at Tis Hazari vide which the Magistrate Court had taken cognizance under Section 409 / Section 420 / Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

**State v Ravi Ranjan Kumar, 2<sup>nd</sup> Bail Application post chargesheet, FIR No. 480 of 2021, 4 August 2022, Hon`ble Magistrate Court, Tis Hazari** – Successfully represented the Complainant in a case wherein he was duped of Rs. 21,45,000/- by his own employee. Sections charged were Section 406 and Section 408 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. The bail petition of Ravi Ranjan Kumar was dismissed by the Hon`ble Sessions Court.

**\*\*\*\*\* v State, 5<sup>th</sup> Bail Application post and 1<sup>st</sup> post chargesheet, FIR No. 2 of 2018, 18<sup>th</sup> September 2022, Hon`ble Chief Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Tis Hazari** – Successfully secured bail for Sanjay Tulsyan who was in judicial custody for a period of 198 days at Tihar Jail. The FIR was filed by complainant (guarantors) who had given their properties as collateral so that the company in which \*\*\*\*\* was the director could have secured bank loan as working capital. The company had suffered business losses and was declared as “Non Performing Asset”. The complainants (guarantors) filed the criminal complaint which converted into an FIR under sections 406/409/420/120B against \*\*\*\*\* and some bank officials. The Court granted bail to \*\*\*\*\* as it was satisfied with our arguments that prima facie Section 409 is not made out in the instant case.

**Rakesh Kumar v Stellar Information Technology Private Limited, Civil DJ No. 612372/2016, 24 December 2012, Additional District Judge, Tis Hazari** – Represented the plaintiff employee in a suit for recovery of money which ensued post illegal termination of the employee in the given facts of the case.

**Shashi Bajaj v Vanita Bathla, Complaint Case No. 4/2023, 22 May 2023, Metropolitan Magistrate, Tis Hazari** – Successfully, represented the complainant who were aggrieved with the police not lodging an FIR in a case in which forged papers were prepared by the accused to illegally usurp the property of the complainant. An Agreement

to Sell was forged which purportedly sold the property by the complainant to the accused persons. The Magistrate was pleased to register and lodge the FIR in this case.

**PC Jeweller Limited v TATA AIG General Insurance Company Limited, CS (COMM) No. 334/2022, Rohini Courts** – Represented the insured company who had suffered total damage of its car in an accident which had taken place. The insurance claim was rejected on the ground that the driver of the car was not holding a valid driving license at the time of the accident.

**Stellar v Chadan Kumar Chaudhary, Civil Suit No. 438 of 2017, Additional District Judge, Saket Court, 14 October 2023** – Successfully represented Mr. Chandan Chaudhary who was a defendant in the instant case. Chandan Chaudhary was arrayed as the defendant by the plaintiff company thereby claiming dues on account of breach of trust and misappropriation by Chandan Chaudhary while he was serving as an employee in the plaintiff company. The Hon'ble Court rejected most claims of the plaintiff and only allowed a part claim which was admitted to be paid by Mr. Chandan Chaudhary.

**Ravi Ranjan Kumar v State of Govt of NCT of Delhi, Bail Appln. No. 1093/2023, Delhi High Court, 8 November 2023** - Represented the complainant in a regular bail application proceedings. The FIR was lodged against the accused under Section 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 on the allegation that the accused was an employee with the complainant and during his course of duty, he has committed theft of the cash which was handed over to him.

**Al Hilal Committee (through its president Mr. Mohd Farooq) v Dr Sarfaraz Ahmed & Ors, CS No. 294/2023, Delhi District Court, Karkarduma, 14 December 2023** – Represented the Plaintiff Committee which was registered under the Societies Act, 1860. The plaint was filed against some members of the Committee who unilaterally and arbitrarily conducted elections in complete contravention of the Bye Laws of the Society and elected themselves as office bearers of the society.

**Om Taneja v Nitin Manchanda, Criminal Complaint No. 6561 of 2022 before Metropolitan Magistrate Saket on 23 January 2024** - Successfully represented the client in a prosecution launched under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. My client had given a cheque in order to cover the rent by way of advance which got dishonored on presentations. The entire trial was conducted by me in the capacity of a defence counsel and ultimately the court acquitted my client from all the charges framed against him.

**NK Sales (Proprietorship) v KP Flexipack Private Limited, CS (Comm) No. 894 / 2022, Naresh Kumar Malhotra, District Judge (Commercial), Tis Hazari on 24 February 2024** – Successfully represented the Plaintiff firm in a Suit for Recovery along with pre suit and pendente lite interested. The suit for recovery was instituted on the basis of goods supplied under contractual invoices and the balance amount which was standing as balance on the foot of the ledger to be paid by the defendant.

**MS Impex v Vimal Plast India Private Limited, CC NI Act 1298 / 2021 Court of Shri Vaibhav Pratap Singh (MM - NI Act - Digital Court 2) on 18 March 2024** - Represented the complainant in a case where the accused persons had committed an offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. This matter was unique because in this case the accused had failed to meet the deadlines under a settlement agreement which was executed between the parties during the trial. The accused was seeking to enforce the settlement agreement even though the accused was not granting consent for compounding on the basis of the settlement agreement. The court disposed of the matter and imposed a heavy interest and penalty amount on the accused.

**Vanita Bathla v Shashi Bajaj, CR No. 077/2023, Court of Shri Gautam Manan, Additional Sessions Court, Tis Hazari on 9 April 2024** – Successfully represented the complainant client who was prosecuting the accused through a private complaint for dishonor of cheque by the accused person. The complainant had filed the private complaint with a delay of 5 days in filing. This delay in filing was condoned by the Metropolitan Magistrate, Delhi and this order which condoned the delay in filing was challenged by way of a criminal revision petition before the Sessions Court. The Sessions Court dismissed the criminal revision of the accused persons and upheld the order of the Metropolitan Magistrate.